



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/867,631	0	5/31/2001	Timothy Mark Morris-Yates	169.2063	7181	
5514	7590	03/24/2005		EXAMINER		
FITZPATR 30 ROCKER		LA HARPER & S	VU, KIEU D			
NEW YORK				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2173		
				DATE MAILED: 03/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1/	ι.
71	·

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/867,631	MORRIS-YATES, TIMOTHY MARK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kieu D Vu	2173		

Advisory Action	09/867,631	MORRIS-YATES, TIMOTHY MARK	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
-	Kieu D Vu	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The limit is a period for reply expires a months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandment, affidavit, or other evidence, we had fee) in compliance with 37 CFR of e reply must be filed within one of the of the final rejection. Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque he following time peri in the final rejection, wh g date of the final rejecti	ication in est for Continued ods: ichever is later. In on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, of	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) a even if timely filed
 The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per 	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>			
 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a 	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow); tter form for appeal by materially re corresponding number of finally rej	TE below);	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			(DTOL 004)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) rejected: <u>65-88</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	No(s)	
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	, ,	.,	
			<u> </u>

JOHN CABECA SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

TECHNOLOGY CENTER ?

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

- . Continue from 3a) New independent claims 89, 98, 108, 109 cite limitations
- "an adjustable soft control able to change an attribute of an object over a continuous range of attribute values";
 "displaying a representation of the object in the pop-up window"; and
 "showing in the pop-up window a training preview which varies the attribute of the displayed representation of the object, the preview exemplifying the nature of change in the attribute that can be expected to arise from adjustment of the soft control" that have not been earlier presented and would require further search and consideration.